OMNIBUS CONSOLIDATED APPROPRIATIONS ACT, 1997

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Under the previous order, the Senate will now proceed to consideration of H.R. 4278, which the clerk will report.

The legislative clerk read as follows: A bill (H.R. 4278) making omnibus consolidated appropriations for the fiscal year ending September 30, 1997, and for other pur-

The Senate proceeded to consider the bill.

ORDER OF PROCEDURE

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, I might say to the leader, that last resolution was a significant resolution. I would like to talk about that later.

In any event, Mr. President, let me yield to my good friend from Alabama for the statement he wishes to make. reserving the right to resume the floor after he finishes his short remarks.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Alabama.

RFD'S 100TH ANNIVERSARY AND CONGRESSMAN RICHARD HENRY CLARKE

Mr. HEFLIN. Tomorrow, Mr. President, on October 1 of this year, the Post Office will celebrate the 100th anniversary of Rural Free Delivery Louis, MO on September 26, 1906 and [RFD]. RFD now serves the whole country, some 25.5 million households and businesses in all, and it is a necessity in States like Alabama. In fact, I am proud to say that Congressman 1930's and early 1940's. Richard Clarke of Alabama was an early leader in the effort to initiate this service. As this important anniversary approaches, I would like to recount Congressman Clarke's leadership after the Civil War, in a very unofficial efforts in its successful implementa-

On January 5, 1892, Representative Richard Clarke became the first Member of Congress to introduce a bill to make RFD a permanent service. He introduced bills in two succeeding Congresses, H.R. 13 in the 52d and H.R. 402 in the 53d "To provide for the free collection and delivery of mails in rural districts." He contacted many Members on the need for such legislation more than 20 years later and spanned and made the first speech advocating the establishment of the program. When the bill was finally adopted by Congress, Mr. Clarke was engaged in a campaign for Governor of Alabama. Therefore, Congressman Tom Watson ing that the cities received free deliv- tions bill, the FAA conference report. of Georgia took the lead in obtaining ery, but rural America did not. Free If the Senator from Oregon wishes to its passage. Although his name does delivery for urban areas had begun in not appear as the official sponsor of the legislation which ultimately created State of Alabama have every right to claim that this Member of Congress was a leader in establishing RFD.

lieutenant in the First Battalion of the Alabama Artillery. He later studied law, was admitted to the bar in 1867, and began practicing in his hometown. He later moved to Demopolis, also in Marengo County, where he continued to practice law. From 1872 until 1876, he served as the State solicitor for Marengo County. He was the prosecutcuit in 1876 and 1877 and later resumed State Bar Association in 1897.

CONGRESSIONAL RECORD—SENATE

He was elected as a Democrat to the 51st Congress and to the three succeed-Among his many legislative accomplishments was the deepening of the channel of Mobile Harbor and the establishment of Mount Vernon Hospital He resumed his law practice and served 1900 and 1901. He passed away in St. was buried in the Magnolia Cemetery in Mobile. His grandson, Dr. Richard Clarke Foster, served as president of the University of Alabama in the late

Of course, Congressman Clarke was by no means alone in his efforts on beway. In 1868, a group of families in Nor-Jerry Elliot to deliver their mail. Mr. Elliot collected his employers' sorted ture Congressman Tom Watson worked acy. as a clerk. Apparently, Watson was highly impressed with the idea, and years later he joined as a crucial spon-

sor of legislation to fund the service. The official battle over RFD began four Postmaster Generals. John Wanamaker, appointed in 1889, was the first Postmaster General to urge adoption of Rural Free Delivery. Wanamaker had

At Postmaster General Wanamaker's tem in small towns and villages. It also pleted his statement. Richard H. Clarke was born in Day- appropriated \$10,000 for this pilot proton, Marengo County, AL on February gram. The towns Wanamaker selected objection, it is so ordered.

9, 1843. He attended Green Springs for the experiment ranged in size from Academy and was graduated first in his 400 to 8,000 residents. Farmers became class from the University of Alabama strong advocates of the service, realizin July 1861. During the Civil War, he ing that they would receive daily marserved in the Confederate Army as a ket quotations and information about where they could sell their crops.

With the success of his experiment and the strong support of the farmers, Wanamaker continued to push for Rural Free Delivery.

The same year that Congressman Clarke introduced his second RFD bill. Congressman Tom Watson's legislation to extend RFD to farmers, rather than ing attorney of the seventh judicial cir- just villages and towns, was passed. But this measure, too, only provided his private law practice in Mobile, AL. for an experimental expansion. Post-He served as president of the Alabama master General Wanamaker's successor. William Bissell, argued correctly that this amount was vastly insufficient to facilitate permanent RFD. In ing Congresses. He served from March fact, Bissell refused even to continue 4, 1889 through March 3, 1897. He served experimentation, and a stand-off beon the Rivers and Harbors Committee. tween him and Congress ultimately forced his resignation.

Bissell's successor, Postmaster General William Wilson, complained that the Post Office's funding was so small for the mentally ill. He ran for Gov- that he might only improve existing ernor of Alabama as a "sound services. So, a Senator named Marion money"-gold standard-Democrat in Butler from North Carolina urged pas-1896, but was defeated by the silver sage of a further appropriation, and the standard candidate, Joseph Johnston. Post Office began an experimental system in West Virginia. This experiment in the State house of representatives in proved successful, and it led to the establishment of the current system with the help of further Congressional appropriations. By that time, Postmaster General Wilson had been succeeded by James A. Gary.

Mr. President, I am proud that a Member of Congress from Alabama-Richard Henry Clarke-was so influential in the establishment of Rural Free half of RFD. The Post Office says that Delivery, a service most Americans in the first rural delivery route began just rural areas take for granted today. Although there are several individuals who might arguably be considered the wood, GA, hired a freed slave named father of RFD, I wanted to make sure Congressman Clarke's efforts did not go unrecognized. The creation of this mail at the local post office, where fu- service is very much a part of his leg-

> Mr. STEVENS addressed the Chair. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator from Alaska.

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, I see the distinguished chairman of the Appropriations Committee is here. If he wishes to make an opening statement on this bill. I will be pleased to yield to him. I have a lengthy statement to make about the subject I believe received a number of letters complain- should precede this omnibus appropriamake a statement, I will be happy to vield to him.

Mr. President, I ask unanimous con-RFD, the people of his district and the request, the Congress passed a joint sent to yield to the Senator from Orresolution on October 1, 1890, to au- egon with the understanding that I will thorize a test of the free delivery sys- resume the floor when he has com-

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without

OMNIBUS CONSOLIDATED APPROPRIATIONS, 1997

September 30, 1996

The Senate continued with the consideration of the bill.

Mr. HATFIELD. Mr. President. I believe that the pending business is the aged to return those gifts in some way. omnibus appropriations bill; is that

The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senator is correct.

Mr. HATFIELD. I thank the Chair.

Mr. President, the Senate now has, as the Chair has indicated, under consideration the fiscal year omnibus approaction on the six fiscal year 1997 appropriations bills that have not been en- contributions. acted into law, and they are: No. 1, Commerce, Justice, State, and related agencies; No. 2, the Defense appropriations bill; No. 3, the foreign operations appropriations bill; No. 4, the Interior and related agencies appropriations bill; No. 5, the Labor-HHS appropriations bill; and No. 6, the Treasury-Postal Service appropriations bill.

As Senators are aware, members of the House and Senate Appropriations Committee and their staffs worked on the floor and in cloakrooms and in around the clock at the end of last week to reach a bipartisan agreement with the administration on all the outstanding issues included in these bills. Our colleagues in the House adopted this bill Saturday by an overwhelming rollcall vote of 370 to 37, and the President has indicated he will sign the bill as soon as it reaches his desk

I know that many Senators have questions and concerns about this legislation. Senator BYRD and I will be here throughout the day to address those matters as best we can. I hope and expect that when we reach a vote on final passage later today, a large majority of the Senate will vote for this legislation.

appropriations measure that I will manage here on the Senate floor. For the past 16 years as chairman or ranking minority member of the full committee, I have stood here with Senator BYRD, Senator Stennis, and Senator Proxmire as we have brought to the Senate the 13 annual appropriations acts, supplementals, rescissions bills and continuing resolutions. It has been issues, great and small. We have carprevious bills. ried on through the revolutionary 1981 reconciliation process, the Gramm-Rudman-Hollings Act, budget summits, ator from West Virginia. and Government shutdowns. Despite it all, year in and year out, this Congress

Mr. President, I cannot adequately sure, on that line. express how honored I am to have been

across the aisle in both bodies that advice and suggestions. These negotiahave immeasurably enriched my life, and I can only hope that I have man-

priations, both here and in the House, are served by an extraordinary staff. These highly capable men and women are the best there are. Before I leave Washington for Oregon later this month—I started to say later today; Frank Raines, and their staffs. that perhaps is only wishful thinking priations bill which will conclude our at this moment-I hope to be able to thank each one personally for their

It would be impossible, Mr. President, to make a comprehensive recitation of the provisions of this legislation, and I will not try. I believe that this bill, which I hold in my hand, represents our completed product which is, obviously, a rather enormous package. I believe that various summary descriptions have been distributed. The text of the legislation is printed in the RECORD and copies are available here Senators' offices.

Mr. President, I wonder if the Senator from Alaska will respond to a request that he amend his unanimousconsent agreement to be recognized following my brief presentation in order to permit the ranking member. Senator BYRD, to make his opening statement as well.

Mr. STEVENS. I have just conferred with Senator BYRD, and I agree. I do amend my request that I be recognized after the Senator from West Virginia completes his statement.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Is there objection to the amended request? Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. HATFIELD. Mr. President. I will Mr. President, this will be the last yield the floor, but before I do so, I, again, want to personalize my remarks, Senator Byrd being on the floor, to say that this was a joint effort. And with press my deep appreciation for his colcible of debate on enormous range of to bring this bill to the floor, like all member, Mr. OBEY.

Mr. BYRD addressed the Chair.

the distinguished Senator from Oregon, met in 1789. Mr. OBEY is very knowlhas acted on appropriations bills and [Mr. HATFIELD], who is here today man- edgeable and extremely able. And so sent them to the President. It is our aging his last appropriations bill. I will both of these men, Mr. LIVINGSTON and principal constitutional duty to do so. have more to say during the day, I am Mr. OBEY deserve great credit for their

The bill now before the Senate con-

Senate and in the House. I am privi- weekend, between the two Houses, with leged to have enjoyed relationships the administration participating with tions included not only the chairman and ranking members of each of the affected Appropriations Subcommittees, All of us on the Committee on Appro- but also the representatives of the House and Senate Republican and Democratic leadership, as well as the President's very able Chief of Staff, Leon Panetta, and the Director of the Office of Management and Budget.

As Senators are aware, these negotiations were necessary because of the inability of Congress and the administration to reach agreement on six of the thirteen fiscal year 1997 appropriations bills. Over the past months, the President indicated that he would not agree to sign these appropriations bills unless funding for a number of priorities was increased by some \$6.5 billion and unless certain controversial legislative riders were dropped.

And so, we found ourselves in Congress faced with having to deal with the President's requests in a very short period of time if we were to reach agreement on the six remaining appropriations bills by the beginning of fiscal year 1997, which starts at the hour of midnight.

In addition, the administration proposed a number of urgent appropriations, including some \$1.1 billion to fight terrorism and improve aviation security and safety, as well as over \$500 million in firefighting assistance for Western States and \$400 million to assist the victims of Hurricanes Fran and Hortense.

Mr. President, I congratulate all of those Members and staffs who have worked literally around the clock over the past week, and certainly over the past weekend, in order to reach this agreement and have it prepared for consideration in the House on Saturday evening when it was agreed to, and by the opening hours of this day here Senator BYRD's vast background and in the Senate. I particularly wish to expertise in the procedures of the Sen- recognize the efforts of the chairman ate, the history of the Senate, the leg- and ranking member of the House Apislative role of the Senate, I, again, expropriations Committee. Mr. Livingston has proved himself to be a very laboration, his cooperation, his spirit able and articulate chairman-and I of friendship, and the demonstration of have enjoyed immensely the opporan extraordinary experience. The ap- that friendship day in and day out in tunity to work with Mr. Livingstonpropriations process has been the cru- achieving our mutual responsibilities he along with his equally able ranking

If there were not a DAVID OBEY in the Congress, Congress would have to cre-The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Senate one. He reminds me, in a way, of that irascible Senator McClay who was Mr. BYRD. Mr. President, I thank a Member of the first Senate when it work on this resolution.

They, together with my dear friend a part of this process. I owe an enor- tains the results of very intense and and colleague, the Senator from Ormous debt to all of my colleagues with difficult negotiations over the past egon, who is the chairman of the Senwhom I have served, both here in the week, and particularly over the past ate Appropriations Committee, Mr. HATFIELD, deserve the lion's share of the credit for this agreement.

I know that Senator HATFIELD, as would I, would have preferred to have not repeat them. had each of the fiscal year 1997 appropriation bills enacted separately rather this massive omnibus bill. Senators should not be placed in the position ment. We should not be backed up ing the day. against the wall here on the last day of resolution. No Senator, and I dare say me, and I yield the floor. no staff person, has had the time to carefully review the thousands of programs funded in this resolution, or to ator from Alaska. read and comprehend the many nonthose members and staffs in the House other executive branch personnel, have approved each item and provision in consider this bill. their respective areas

While I applaud the efforts of all that it, once again, has come to this. Congresses to get our work done, de-The leaders of the Senate have almost people would never understand. impossible burdens in meeting the resides of the aisle, to commit themways that will enable the next Congress not to have to consider such massive, omnibus legislation as the one now before the Senate.

Mr. President, as the distinguished remaining appropriation bills which have not vet been enacted into law. Namely, Title I of the resolution provides the fiscal year 1997 appropria-Judiciary; Department of Defense; Foreign Operations; Interior; Labor-HHS; and Treasury Postal.

Titles II, III, and IV of H.R. 4278 contain legislation that results in offsets totaling some \$3.3 billion. Those provisions include so-called BIF-SAIF; SPECTRUM sales; and certain PAYGO

Title V contains other appropriations totaling some \$850 million, as well as a number of general provisions.

Finally, I should note that division C of the resolution contains the agreement on immigration reform.

Chairman HATFIELD has highlighted the will of the executive branch. Under the important priorities contained in our traditional system of checks and this resolution and, therefore, I will

I hope that the Senate will proceed expeditiously and that we may be able than having them conglomerated into to complete action on this measure in time to send it to the President for him to sign before the hour of midnight. I that we find ourselves in at this mo- shall have more to say, of course, dur-

I thank the distinguished Senator the fiscal year, facing a Government from Alaska [Mr. STEVENS] for his shutdown unless we adopt this massive characteristic courtesy in yielding to

Mr. STEVENS addressed the Chair. The PRESIDING OFFICER. The Sen-

Mr. STEVENS. Mr. President, I have appropriations, legislative matters the greatest respect for the chairman contained in this resolution. What we and ranking member of our full comare faced with is having to rely on mittee, the Appropriations Committee. I certainly do apologize to them for and Senate with jurisdiction over each seeking the floor ahead of them, beof the provisions in this resolution. To cause I knew they were coming. But I my knowledge they, along with the Of- wanted to make certain that I did refice of Management and Budget and tain the right to alert the Senate to a very difficult problem as we proceed to

First, let me say I know that this is the last bill to be handled by the Senthose who have worked so hard on this ator from Oregon. He and I went on the measure, I nevertheless abhor the fact Appropriations Committee on the same day. I have sat beside him for so many We must redouble our efforts in future years now working on matters affecting appropriations, and we have both spite the very real differences among served with the distinguished Senator ourselves and with the administration. from West Virginia in a way that most

There is a deep friendship among quests of Senators throughout every those of us who worked through long session. I urge my colleagues, on both nights trying to figure out how to solve the problems of keeping this Governselves to working with both leaders in ment going and at the same time pursue the objectives of policy enunciated by our leaders. It is not an easy thing.

Both the Senator from Oregon and the Senator from West Virginia have spent many more hours in conference chairman of the committee, Senator on this bill than any other member of HATFIELD, has stated, this resolution the Appropriations Committee, and contains the necessary appropriations they certainly deserve our great refor fiscal year 1997 for each of the six spect and thanks for all the work they have done to get us to this point.

As the Senator from West Virginia just said, this bill absolutely must be signed tonight. It is our intention to tions for the following appropriation see to it that that takes place. I do bills: Commerce/Justice/State/ and the give both the Senator from Oregon and the Senator from West Virginia great credit for what they have done and the manner in which they have handled this hill

As a postscript, I also say I certainly do agree with the Senator from West Virginia—and I think the Senator from Oregon does too; I know he does—this is not the way to handle appropriations bills, and we must find a way to deal for various departments and agencies with our procedure to assure that bills from appropriations committees, that each bill is considered on its own mer- he wishes to bring up that is quite its and it goes to the President in a similar to what I am talking about. way that expresses the will of the Con- But I would like to finish my stategress, and the President can express ment.

balances, that must be preserved in order to assure the freedom of this country. So I intend to work with the Senators to achieve that goal. I do. again, apologize to them for seeking the floor ahead of them because I know they are entitled to present their positions in the very beginning.

September 30, 1996

CONFERENCE REPORT TO ACCOM-PANY THE FEDERAL AVIATION AUTHORIZATION ACT OF 1996

Mr. STEVENS, Mr. President, I come to the floor today to again address the question of the failure to approve the conference report on the aviation trust fund. This is the Federal Aviation Authorization Act of 1996.

Mr. President, the bill before us contains the funding for the Government. We have already dealt with the appropriations for transportation. But the conference report on the Aviation Authorization Act for 1996 contains the authority to spend the money. There currently is just \$50 million, out of a \$1.46 billion program, left after today to continue the work of the modernization of our airports and airways. We have worked now 2 years—a bipartisan group-to try and improve the safety and security of the Federal aviation

I give great credit to the chairman of the Commerce Committee, Senator PRESSLER, the ranking member, Senator HOLLINGS, and to the chairman of the aviation subcommittee, Mr. MCCAIN, and the ranking member of that committee, the distinguished Senator from Kentucky, Mr. FORD. We have, many of us, had differences of opinion on the bill. But we found a way to work it out. This bill is absolutely necessary now to proceed to strengthen the safety and security of the aviation transportation system. I am here this morning to again serve notice to the Senate that this bill must be passed before we adjourn sine die. Again, let me say, there is only \$50 million left in this fund that can be expended after today

What we are looking at, Mr. President, is a bill that has been crafted in order to meet some very important objectives of people who are very much involved with the issues of aviation safety. Let me point out, for instance, that just this past week we, once again, had a hearing with regard to the rights of those people who are survivors of victims of air disasters.

Mr. SIMON. Will my colleague vield? Mr. STEVENS. I am not prepared to yield during this statement, Mr. President. I don't intend to take much time. I want to alert the Senate—and I know the Senator from Illinois has a matter

vivor of a victim of the Pan Am crash. She has done a great deal to alert families who have been similarly affected of the need for Federal legislation to deal with family assistance to those that are affected by these crashes, the survivors of the victims of the crashes. One of the things they asked us to do

was to pass House bill 3923. And as I said at the hearing, I don't intend to get too personal about this, but I personally know something about victims of air crashes. I know that it is necessary for us to wake up and make sure that the Federal law does assure assistance to families of passengers involved in aircraft accidents. This bill does that. The aviation bill does that.

The bill that is in the conference report that is being held up now over one provision in the bill. It requires the Chairman of the National Transportation Safety Board to designate and publicize the name and phone number of a director of family support services to designate an independent nonprofit organization, such as the Red Cross, to assist in the taking of responsibility for coordinating the emotional care and support for those families. It has a substantial designation of assistance, such as providing mental health and counseling services, to provide it in the environment in which families may grieve in private, meet with families, communicate with families as to the role of Government agency, and arrange for a suitable memorial service after consultation with the families.

It is a bill that is absolutely necessary, as we think of the number of families that have been affected by these air carrier crashes. It will provide that unsolicited communication concerning a potential action for personal injury can't be made before 30 days after the accident. It does have a requirement that the air carrier submit plans to address the needs of families if their aircraft is involved in an accident. There is absolute necessity for this bill to pass. It establishes a task force within the Department of Transportation to assure that this will be done.

Mr. President, my main reason for addressing the issue, though, is the problem of safety at our airports. The Aberdeen, SD, runway has almost closed for safety reasons. It has no carryover money. It has to have this bill passed today so that money will be available tomorrow. In my capital city of Juneau, we have a wind shear problem. It has recently developed that the FAA wishes to change the takeoff requirements and will not allow a plane to take off until they can prove there

stance, as a result of formula changes deal with this.

We had Victoria Cummock, a sur- in this bill, the Commonwealth of Masgets now. But its Boston airport entitlement and Nantucket entitlement both increase. In the State of Wisconsin, they would have an apportionment of \$1.9 million more in entitlement for the airports. In Wisconsin, for instance, Madison's airport—a very inproceed with the improvements to rity provisions.

We have changed, as a result of the bill that I wish to have brought up and passed today, the provisions for the ausecurity screeners at airports; given new authority for the FAA to facilitate interim deployment of advanced aviation security technology, including the explosive detection equipment that we must have. They can make and will make vulnerability assessments of every airport in the country, and they are going to deal with new ways to develop passenger profiling. But above all, they are going to have the national academy of science work on the explosive detecting and aircraft hardening technology.

This bill cannot wait until we get back next year and organize and get around to passing bills. It would be, roughly, February 15, at the earliest, before that could be done. Under the essential air service, which is absolutely essential to maintain transportation in my State and many of the Northern States, funds could not be taken from the trust fund if this bill does not pass. There is only a 1-month carryover, which means that all of our planes that are involved in essential air service will be grounded before December if this bill does not pass.

This is the most critical bill that I can think of in terms of aviation safetv. I have a whole list of items here that deal with the security requirements that are funded by this bill. Huntsville, AL; Fort Lauderdale; Fort Myers; Orlando; St. Petersburg; in Atlanta, Savannah; Valdosta, GA; Lexington, KY; Greensboro, NC; Wilmington, NC; Chattanooga, TN; Nashville, TN; in Illinois, the Springfield capital security fencing is absolutely required that it be fixed. That money is not there unless this bill passes today. It will not be there until the second quarter of the fiscal year, at the earliest.

In Minnesota, there is a firefighting building provided for. I believe that is very much associated with security.

When we go through all of these. Ohio has the largest number of secu- no dispute among Members of the Senare no wind shears in the community. rity requirements in the country that We have in this bill the authorization are funded by this bill. In Racine, WI, for the money to take wind shear there are obstructions on the field that equipment to Juneau. This is just one must be removed. It has one of the It was as to how we were to do it. of the items. In Massachusetts, for in- highest priorities in the country to

I made a mistake; I said Ohio had the sachusetts will receive \$3.5 million highest number. California has the more under its entitlement, which is highest number of security requirenearly \$1.4 million greater than what it ments and facilities that are funded by this bill.

Mr. President, the question comes down to, "How can we get this bill up?" There are ways, Mr. President, that we can delay the present bill until the FAA bill is brought up. I do not want to do that. I appreciate, as I have alteresting area—needs the money to ready said, the work done by the leaders of our Appropriations Committee. their airports. This bill is not only air- and the joint leadership of the Conports, but we are talking about secu- gress, to see to it that there is no hiatus in funding in terms of our National Government at this time.

But the FAA bill comes before us when the country has been rocked with thority to check criminal records for aviation tragedies. ValuJet is just starting to fly today. That reminds all of us of the tragedy in Florida. We still have the unexplained TWA Flight 800. We have all kinds of speculation concerning that. In the wake of the tragedy, the White House had a commission chaired by the Vice President. Many of those recommendations are in our bill. We have added to them considerably.

> But, clearly, the explosive detection devices are No. 1 in regard to our joint effort to find a way to upgrade our security at our Nation's airports.

Mr. President, there is a small group of Senators that are delaying this bill because of one provision. It is just as easy for them to come in here next year and repeal that. That will not be difficult. If they have the votes to repeal it, they can repeal it next year.

The idea of delaying the safety of the Nation over one amendment—I must say, it was an amendment offered on the other side of the aisle, which most of us on this side of the aisle supported. but it is a provision that corrects a technicality in the law. And the law that was passed by Congress, as I understand it. was a mistake in the law.

But, in any event, why this bill? Why can't these Senators find a way to meet their objectives without putting the Nation's safety at risk?

I want the Senate to know that if this bill does not pass, I am going to see to it that the survivor of every victim gets the personal telephone number of the people that oppose this bill. I urge people involved in this victims' rights committee to get on the phone and call these people right now.

There is no reason for this delay. We have tried our best to work out a problem here with regard to aviation safety, and it is the basic problem which brought us to the point that we are here today; that is, that we were in disagreement as to how to finance future additions to the trust fund. There was ate over what we had to do to meet the security requirements, or what we had to do to find a way to increase funding.

We have had disagreements whether we should have taxes, or whether we